











Nerissa Belcher via Tamara Jeanne

11 hours ago · 🏨

According to ace legal expert Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia, the court needed to intervene to overturn voting protections for minorities since protecting minority voting rights is so popular with the public that court action was necessary to take these protections away.

Scalia also believes that since gay marriage rights equal to those of straights are not yet popular with the public that that the court needed to prevent gays from having rights.

If he seems rather inconsistent when you read his opinions you find the common theme. In both cases his refrain is if someone like a Black or gay doesn't like his bigotry all they need to do is to come up with \$100,000 or more and take their concerns to court in the very areas of the country they are being discriminated against thereby giving them a snowballs chance in hell of winning. Basically he is trying to help our struggling legal profession to make a living. So he is a humanitarian.

## Scalia Rages At Court, 'Homosexual Sodomy' In DOMA Dissent

m.huffpost.com

WASHINGTON -- A day after siding with four other conservative justices to overturn a portion of a nearly 50 year old civil rights law that maintained broad bipartisan support, Justice Antonin Scalia

Want to see more from The Huffington Post?




Unlike · Comment · Unfollow Post · Share



You, Meta Kaniac and Rebecca Gavin like this.



Rebecca Gavin I bet he'd like your reasoning.





## Bonze Anne Rose Blayk -

'... the Court has cheated ... the losers of the peace that comes from a fair defeat."

Oh, that's brilliant!

Let me know when this "logic" has any relevance to the real world.

8 hours ago · Like · 🖒 1



## Bonze Anne Rose Blavk -

I thought it might be a nice exercise to work up a brief list of losers who have experienced the unique joy found in the "peace" of a "fair defeat"...

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle\_of\_Tyre

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Third\_punic\_war#Aftermath

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Banu\_Qurayza#Demise\_of\_the\_Banu\_Qurayza

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timur#Capture\_of\_Delhi\_.281398.29

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Albigensians#Massacre

Now, I find the obsession with "gay marriage" overdone and not really the most important civil right that could be brought into issue as a strategic goal, but just the same, there's an important principle involved here - precisely the one that the Justices overturning DOMA seem to have invoked, that of "equal treatment before the law" for homosexual persons?

Scalia, on the other hand, finds no moral problem with oppressing persons simply because they engage in homosexual conduct... why, it's all up to the Will of the Majority!

Facebook @ 2013

English (US) · Privacy · Terms · Cookies · More ▼



